## **REMARKS**

By the present Amendment, claim 13 is amended. This leaves claims 13-26 pending in the application, with claim 13 being independent.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 13-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for allegedly omitting essential structural, cooperative relationships of elements amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connection. The specific relationships alleged to be missing regarding the control inputs, pressure regulator, switch, etc. appear to concern functional relationships, not structural relationships.

While all claimed elements of original claim 13 are believed to be recited as being parts of or connected to other recited structures, claim 13 is now amended to add functional relationships to avoid the rejection raised.

This amended claim 13 was presented to the Examiner and considered in a February 20, 2009 telephone interview between the Examiner and the undersigned. As noted in the February 25, 2009 Interview Summary, the Examiner advised that this proposal overcame the rejection and would result in the application being allowed.

In view of the foregoing, claims 13-26 are allowable. Prompt and favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark S. Bicks Reg. No. 28,770

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, LLP 1300 19th Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 659-9076

Dated: March 27, 2009